ABSTRACT

Deploying robots in human-shared spaces requires understanding interactions among nearby agents and objects. Modelling cause-and-effect relations through causal inference aids in predicting human behaviours and anticipating robot interventions. However, a critical challenge arises as existing causal discovery methods currently lack an implementation inside the ROS ecosystem, the standard de facto in robotics, hindering effective utilisation in robotics. To address this gap, this paper introduces ROS-Causal, a ROS-based framework for onboard data collection and causal discovery in human-robot spatial interactions. An ad-hoc simulator, integrated with ROS, illustrates the approach’s effectiveness, showcasing the robot onboard generation of causal models during data collection. ROS-Causal is available on GitHub: https://github.com/lcastri/roscausal.git.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The growing use of robots in various sectors, such as industrial, agriculture, and healthcare, represents an advancement in the development of these sectors. However, to guarantee the robots’ inclusion alongside humans in an eco-friendly manner, new approaches for ensuring effective human-robot interactions (HRIs) have been investigated. A robot operating near humans must perform tasks with awareness on the unforeseen responses to its actions. Uncovering the relationship between robots’ actions and their effects on humans can represent a key factor in enhancing HRIs, as it enables the robot to reason about its action. The study of the cause-and-effect relationship is precisely the focus of causal inference [18].

Causal inference appears in the literature of different fields, including robotics [2, 4–10, 14, 15]. However, many causal discovery methods used in these applications require two steps: data collection and subsequent offline causal analysis. Notably, these methods lack the capability to run directly on the robot. This limitation poses challenges for exploiting the reconstructed causal models in real-time. For example, consider a robot interacting with a person...
in a warehouse, as depicted in Figure 1. In the current scenario, due to the aforementioned limitation, the robot must accumulate a significant amount of data and then conduct offline causal analysis. Subsequently, the reconstructed causal model has to be reintegrated into the robot for utilisation. One reason of such limitation could be the missing of a framework that facilitates the holistic integration between the two communities and that operates directly inside Robot Operating System (ROS)
, the standard de facto in robotics. The solutions proposed in this paper aim to streamline this process by enabling the robot to conduct onboard causal discovery on data batches while concurrently collecting data for future causal analysis. Moreover, given the integration of our framework within ROS, the acquired causal model could be directly employed by the robot.

Hence, this paper proposes ROS-Causal to overcome current limitations in causal analysis for real-world robotics applications, and ROS-Causal_HRISim, a Gazebo-based robotic simulator useful for designing HRI scenarios for causal analysis. In summary, our contributions are as follows:

- the first ROS-based causal analysis framework designed for onboard data collection and causal discovery on robots;
- an ad-hoc simulator for human-robot interactions to facilitate the design of HRI scenarios and to collect observational and interventional data for causal analysis;
- an experimental evaluation of the proposed approach within the simulated environment to demonstrate its feasibility.

The paper is structured as follows: a complete overview of causal discovery methods and their applications in robotics are presented in Section 2; Section 3 explains the details of our approach; Section 4 shows the details of our ad-hoc HRI simulator and the application of our approach; finally, we conclude this paper in Section 5 discussing achievements and future applications.

2 RELATED WORK

Causal discovery: Various causal discovery methods have been developed to infer causal relationships from observational data, broadly classified into three categories [12]: (i) constraint-based methods, like Peter & Clark (PC) and Fast Causal Inference (FCI) [25]; (ii) score-based methods, such as Greedy Equivalence Search (GES) and NOTEARS [26]; and (iii) noise-based methods, like Linear Non-Gaussian Acyclic Models (LiNGAM) [24]. However, many of these algorithms work only with static data and are not applicable to time-series of sensor data in many robotics applications, for which time-dependent causal discovery methods are instead necessary. To this end, several causal discovery algorithms for time-series data have been developed [3]. Within the area of Granger causality, there is Temporal Causal Discovery Framework (TCDF) [16]. In [17] the time-series version of NOTEARS, i.e. DYNOTEARS, is presented. Among the noise-based methods, there are Time Series Models with Independent Noise (TiMINo) [19] and Vector Autoregressive LiNGAM (VARLiNGAM) [13]. In the score-based category, variations of the FCI and PC algorithms, namely Time-series FCI (tsFCI) [11] and PC Momentary Conditional Independence (PCMCI) [20], were tailored to handle time-series data. PCMCI, with wide applications in climate, healthcare, and robotics [9, 22, 23], has recently seen extensions such as PCMCI\* [21] for discovering simultaneous dependencies and Filtered-PCMCI (F-PCMCI) [10], which incorporates a transfer entropy-based feature-selection module to enhance causal discovery by focusing on relevant variables.

Causal robotics: The synergy between causality and robotics is a mutually beneficial relationship. Causality utilises robots’ physical nature for interventions, while robots use causal models for a deep understanding of their environment. For this reason, causal inference has gained attention in various applications in robotics, such as building Structural Causal Models (SCM) to understand how humanoid robots interact with tools [4]. PCMCI and F-PCMCI are applied to derive the causal model of an underwater robot reaching a target position [8] and predict human spatial interactions in social robotics [9, 10]. Causality-based approaches have also been explored in robot imitation learning, manipulation, drone applications, and planning [2, 5–7, 14, 15]. However, existing causal discovery methods often involve offline analysis after data collection and are not available in ROS, posing challenges for their use and experimental reproducibility in robotics. Our goal was to create a modular ROS-based causal analysis framework that facilitates concurrent data collection and causal analysis processes.

In robotics, designing an effective HRI is a challenging task. Moreover, the synergy with causality introduces even more variables to be decided, such as features to be considered and how to post-process them. For this reason, having a simulator that helps in choosing the variables to consider in real-life setting is crucial. In [1], a robotic simulator named CausalWorld, designed for causal structure learning, was introduced. It focuses on a robotic manipulation environment with a TriFinger robot, floor, and stage, and allows for the inclusion of objects with various shapes, such as cubes. While supporting diverse manipulation tasks, it is limited to that domain and lacks the human factor. In contrast, our ROS-Causal_HRISim is tailored for HRI scenarios and provides the opportunity to collect observational data and perform various types of interventions with both the robot and the human.

3 ROS-BASED CAUSAL ANALYSIS FRAMEWORK

Our approach, named ROS-Causal, extracts and collects data from an HRI scenario, such as agents’ trajectories, and then performs causal analysis on the collected data in a batched manner. A modular ROS Python library implementation of ROS-Causal has been developed and made publicly available\(^2\). The modular design allows for the expansion of the library with new causal discovery methods. In the following, we provide a detailed explanation of the three main blocks that compose the ROS-Causal pipeline, as depicted in Figure 1. Information regarding subscribers and publishers for each ROS node is summarised in Table 1.

3.1 Data Merging

The purpose of this block is to merge robot and human data from various topics into custom ROS messages in the ROS-Causal framework. The nodes `roscausal_robot` and `roscausal_human` extract the position, orientation, velocities and target positions of the robot and the human, respectively. These data are retrieved from ROS topics/params relative to the robotic platform and need to be configured
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\(^1\)https://www.ros.org/

\(^2\)https://github.com/lcastri/roscausal.git
within the framework. Then, the two nodes merge the acquired data into the ROS messages RobotState and HumanState published on the predefined topics /roscausal/robot and /roscausal/human. The latter are utilised in the data collection block explained in the following section.

3.2 Data Collection and Post-processing

The data collection and post-processing block takes input from the previous block’s topics to create a data batch for the causal discovery node. More in detail, the roscausal_data node subscribes to the topics /roscausal/robot and /roscausal/human and begins collecting data in a CSV file. Once the desired time-series length, configurable as a ROS parameter, is reached, the node provides the option to post-process the data, allowing for the creation of a high-level representation of the scenario. For instance, from the low-level data, such as agents’ trajectories, a post-processing script can be specified to generate distances and angles between the agents. Once the post-processing is complete, the CSV file is saved into a designated folder (e.g. “csv_pool” as shown in Figure 1).

3.3 Causal Discovery

The roscausal_discovery ROS node performs causal discovery analysis on the collected data. Specifically, the ROS node continuously checks for the presence of a CSV file in the designated folder. Upon locating a file, it initiates the causal analysis on that specific data batch. If multiple CSV files are present, they are processed and deleted sequentially. The node prioritises the oldest file for the analysis, ensuring that data is analysed in the order it was collected. It is important to note that the roscausal_data and roscausal_discovery ROS nodes operate asynchronously, allowing the simultaneous execution of causal analysis on one dataset while continuing the collection of another.

The ROS node incorporates two causal discovery methods: the PCMCI [20] and its extension, F-PCMCI [10]. F-PCMCI has been specifically designed to speed up the causal analysis for real-world scenarios and has shown improved performance compared to PCMCI. For both algorithms, the following parameters, handled as ROS parameters, needs to be set:

- significance threshold (typically $\alpha = 0.05$);
- minimum and maximum time lag;
- conditional independence test;

Once the causal analysis is complete, roscausal_discovery ROS node deletes the just examined CSV dataset in order to maintain robot’s memory free and decomposes the causal model into three $n.lags \times n.vars \times n.vars$ matrices. Here, $n.lags$ represents the number of time lags to the current time where causal dependencies are tested, defined as the difference between the maximum and minimum time lag, and $n.vars$ represents the number of variables. Each matrix contains distinct information about the built causal model for each time lag. In particular:

- **causal_structure**: a binary matrix describing the causal model skeleton. Each element is set to 1 if and only if a causal link between two variables is present;
- **val_matrix**: specifies the strength of each causal link in the causal model. Notably, this matrix contains non-zero values exclusively for elements where causal_structure is set to 1;
- **pval_matrix**: indicates the confidence level (i.e., p-value) for each causal link in the causal model. Similar to val_matrix, this matrix contains positive values only for elements where causal_structure is set to 1.

The three matrices are incorporated into the CausalModel ROS message and published on the /roscausal/causal_model topic, making the causal model data accessible to other components of the robotic system.

4 EXPERIMENT

4.1 Human-Robot Interaction Simulator

To assess the effectiveness of our approach in reconstructing causal models from HRI scenarios, we developed a dedicated Gazebo-based simulator called ROS-Causal_HRISim. This simulator accurately mimics HRI scenarios involving a TIAGo$^3$ robot and multiple pedestrians modelled using the pedsim_ros$^4$ ROS library. The latter simulates individual and group social activities (e.g., walking) using a social force model. To better emulate human behaviours, we incorporated the option for user teleoperation (via keyboard) of a simulated person, not influenced by social forces. A Docker image of ROS-Causal_HRISim, comprising also ROS-Causal, has

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>roscausal_robot</th>
<th>subscribed topics</th>
<th>description</th>
<th>msg type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>to be setup</td>
<td>robot pose</td>
<td>to be setup</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to be setup</td>
<td>robot velocity</td>
<td>to be setup</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to be setup</td>
<td>robot goal</td>
<td>to be setup</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>published topics</th>
<th>description</th>
<th>msg type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>/roscausal/robot</td>
<td>full robot state</td>
<td>RobotState</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>roscausal_human</th>
<th>subscribed topics</th>
<th>description</th>
<th>msg type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>to be setup</td>
<td>human pose</td>
<td>to be setup</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to be setup</td>
<td>human velocity</td>
<td>to be setup</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to be setup</td>
<td>human goal</td>
<td>to be setup</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>published topics</th>
<th>description</th>
<th>msg type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>/roscausal/human</td>
<td>full human state</td>
<td>HumanState</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>roscausal_data</th>
<th>subscribed topics</th>
<th>description</th>
<th>msg type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>/roscausal/robot</td>
<td>full robot state</td>
<td>RobotState</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/roscausal/human</td>
<td>full human state</td>
<td>HumanState</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>published topics</th>
<th>description</th>
<th>msg type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>/roscausal/causal_model</td>
<td>causal model description</td>
<td>CausalModel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\alpha\): significance threshold
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$^3$https://pal-robotics.com/robots/tiago/

$^4$https://github.com/srl-freiburg/pedsim_ros
she approaches it. Upon reaching the goal, a new target position is randomly selected, and the process repeats. If, during her path to the goal, the person encounters the robot, she must avoid it by decreasing her velocity and/or adjusting her steering. Therefore, the expected causal links in this scenario are as follows:

- \( h_v \rightarrow h_{d_f}, h_{d_y} \) depends inversely on \( h_v \);
- \( h_{d_y} \rightarrow h_v \leftarrow h_{\text{risk}} \); \( h_v \) is a direct function of the \( h_{d_y} \), but it is also affected by the collision risk;
- \( h_v \rightarrow h_{\text{risk}} \) depends on the velocity, as explained in [9].

Regarding the ROS-Causal parameters and settings, we configured a desired time-series length corresponding to a timeframe of 150s and recorded the trajectories of the two agents, their linear velocity, and orientation with a sampling time step of 0.3s. Subsequently, through a dedicated script added to the designated folder in the roscausal_data ROS node, we post-processed the data to obtain the distance between the human and the goal, as well as the risk of collision. For the causal discovery block, we employed the F-PCMCI causal discovery method with a significance level of \( \alpha = 0.05 \), a conditional independence test based on Gaussian Process regression and Distance Correlation (GPDC). We also used a 1-step lag time, meaning variables at time \( t \) could only be affected by those at time \( t - 1 \). The resulting causal model is depicted in Figure 3, where the thickness of the arrows represents the strength of the causal link. The graph faithfully represents the expected model discussed earlier and is consistent with the results in [9].

5 CONCLUSION

In this work, we introduced ROS-Causal, a ROS-based causal analysis framework for human-robot interactions applications, and ROS-Causal_HRISim, an HRI simulator. ROS-Causal enables onboard data collection and causal discovery, allowing robots to concurrently reconstruct the causal model while collecting data for future causal analysis. Our approach was applied and tested in a simulated HRI scenario designed in ROS-Causal_HRISim. The results confirm that ROS-Causal can effectively execute data collection and causal discovery analysis onboard, producing accurate causal models.

The current version of ROS-Causal is a fully working yet initial release, with opportunities for future improvements and extensions. Firstly, the ROS nodes, namely roscausal_robot and roscausal_human, can be enhanced to accommodate multiple robots and humans. Another promising direction involves the integration of additional causal discovery methods beyond PCMCI and F-PCMCI. This can be easily achieved by introducing new scripts within the dedicated folder for causal discovery methods in the roscausal_discovery ROS node. There is obviously the opportunity in the future to enhance its compatibility with ROS2. Another possible enhancement is the addition of a new block to the pipeline for leveraging and reasoning on the reconstructed causal models, e.g., roscausal_reasoning. In any case, implementing the causal analysis as a ROS node and transmitting the causal model via a ROS topic opens up the potential for extensive utilisation of such analyses in the field of robotics. This approach provides the opportunity to leverage causal models for diverse tasks and robots, including but not limited to planning and prediction, in real-time.

---

Figure 3: Causal model reconstructed by ROS-Causal
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While the blue line visualises the distance between the person and the TIAGo robot and a teleoperated person, represented by the red manikin. The green dot represents the person’s target position, and the enlarged encumbrance of the TIAGo, which is perceived by the human as a moving obstacle, is the visualisation of the collision risk. The latter is one of the variables defined in [9]. The graph faithfully represents the expected model discussed earlier and is consistent with the results in [9].
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